and one may take it, I think, that " L^J Histoire de 1'Affaire Dreyfus " will not end without casting light even on matters which may still seem obscure.

In one of my chapters I mention an episode in Zola's private life, which is already known to so many people that it would have been ridiculous on my part to have attempted to conceal it, even if it had been right to do so. I will not enlarge on the subject here, for it is discussed in its proper place; I will merely reiterate my conviction that if a biographer may well be kind to the virtues and a little blind to the errors of a man he has loved it is nevertheless his duty to his readers to omit nothing that may be essential for a right understanding of the man's life.

Further, in another section of the book, I recounted the incidents of the prosecution instituted against my with respect to certain translations of Zola's in this connection I have had occasion to say something about certain fanatics, and also about the attitude majority of the British newspaper press before realised that Zola was not so black as it had painted after the lapse of long years, such matters and sequences cannot be recalled by one who suffered without some feeling of resentment. It is true that preface to the English version of Zola's last book my acknowledgments to the press generally for leniency, patience, and even favour that had been shown the time I began to re-introduce Zola's works to British public. Those acknowledgments I am quite

ready to reiterate, in despite of the matters with which I deal in a chapter of the present book, for those matters belong to an earlier period. But a sense of duty and justice to my father, to my brothers and other relatives, to myself as well, has made it impossible for me to overlook the period in question, and what I regard largely as its aberrations. Besides, in a book intended for English readers, it is only fit that the